

Architecture, Dataset and Model-Scale Agnostic Data-free Meta-Learning

Zixuan Hu¹, Li Shen², Zhenyi Wang³, Tongliang Liu⁴, Chun Yuan¹, Dacheng Tao²

1Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, China; 2 JD Explore Academy, China 3 State University of New York at Buffalo, USA; 4 The University of Sydney, Australia

Poster: TUE-PM-345







Overview



Problem definition of data-free meta-learning (DFML):



Our contributions:

- Propose a united framework **PURER**, which is architecture, dataset and model-scale agnostic.
- Propose two techniques:

Efficient meta training with pseudo tasks of adaptively increasing difficulty level

• Evaluate DFML on three real-world scenarios with superior gains:

SS: modes pre-trained on **S**ame dataset with **S**ame architecture

- $\ensuremath{\text{SH}}$: modes pre-trained on $\ensuremath{\text{S}}$ ame dataset with $\ensuremath{\text{H}}$ eterogeneous architecture
- MH: modes pre-trained on Multiple dataset with Heterogeneous architecture

Goal: DFML aims to enable efficient learning of new unseen tasks by meta-learning the meta-knowledge from a collection of public pre-trained models without access to their private training data.

Architecture, Dataset and Model-Scale Agnostic Data-free Meta-Learning, CVPR 2023

Motivation



(1) limited information with unsatisfactory performance

③ can not deal with large-scale models

What information can we obtain from numerous pre-trained models of different tasks?

- Model parameters
- Model architectures
- ...
- What else?

Explore underlying data knowledge contained in models:

5-way pseudo episode

CIFAR-FS, from Conv4







Prior work DRO*(UAI, 2022) Imitations 2 only applicable to models with the same architecture

* Zhenyi Wang, et al. Meta-learning without data via Wasserstein distributionally-robust model fusion. UAI 2022. Architecture, Dataset and Model-Scale Agnostic Data-free Meta-Learning, CVPR 2023

Methodology

Overall framework of PURER:





 Meta-training with Episode Curriculum Inversion (ECI): Distill a sequence of pseudo tasks from models of adaptively increasing difficulty level according to the real-time feedback of the meta model.

 Meta-testing with Inversion Calibration following Inner Loop (ICFIL): A plug-and-play supplement of mete testing to alleviate the taskdistribution shift issue caused by the gap between pseudo tasks during meta training and real tasks during meta testing.





Adversarial learning objective of PURER:

Adversarially update pseudo dataset \mathcal{D} and meta-learner θ :

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \max_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}} [-\mathcal{L}_{inv}(\mathcal{D}) + \mathbb{I}(\Omega) * \mathcal{L}_{outer}(\mathcal{T}; \boldsymbol{\theta})].$$

$$data-generation loss \qquad meta-learning loss$$

Architecture, Dataset and Model-Scale Agnostic Data-free Meta-Learning, CVPR 2023

Methodology



Episode Curriculum Inversion (ECI):

Goal: Distill a sequence of pseudo tasks with adaptively increasing difficulty level to achieve efficient meta training.



At each episode, El may repeatedly synthesize the tasks already learned well, while **ECI** only synthesizes **harder tasks not learned yet**.



Architecture, Dataset and Model-Scale Agnostic Data-free Meta-Learning, CVPR 2023

Methodology



Inversion Calibration following Inner Loop (ICFIL)

Goal: A plug-and-play supplement of meta testing to alleviate the task-distribution shift issue caused by the gap between pseudo tasks of meta training and real tasks of meta testing.



Task-distribution shift between meta training and testing.

Calibrate the meta-learned meta model via contrastive learning:

$$\mathcal{L}_{calibration}(\phi) = -\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{S}_{test}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\hat{x}}^+} \log \frac{\exp\left[\phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T \phi(\boldsymbol{\hat{x}}^+)/\tau\right]}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{\hat{x}}} \exp\left[\phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T \phi(\boldsymbol{\hat{x}})/\tau\right]}$$

Enforce the backbone to extract similar features for both pseudo and real data, thus alleviating task-distribution shift.

 $\begin{cases} \hat{x}^+: \text{ pseudo data with the same label of real data } x \\ \hat{x}^-: \text{ pseudo data with different label of real data } x \end{cases}$

Results



Three real-world scenarios:

SS: modes pre-trained on Same dataset with Same architecture SH: modes pre-trained on Same dataset with Heterogeneous architecture MH: modes pre-trained on Multiple dataset with Heterogeneous architecture

Quantitative results:

| SS | Method | 1-shot | 5-shot |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| CIFAR-FS 5-way | Random | 21.65 ± 0.45 | 21.59 ± 0.45 |
| | OTA | 28.12 ± 0.62 29.10 ± 0.65 | 32.13 ± 0.04 34.33 ± 0.67 |
| | DRO | 23.92 ± 0.49 | 24.34 ± 0.49 |
| | Ours | $\textbf{38.66} \pm \textbf{0.78}$ | $\textbf{51.95} \pm \textbf{0.79}$ |
| MiniImageNet 5-way | Random | 22.45 ± 0.41 | 23.48 ± 0.45 |
| | Average | 22.87 ± 0.39 | 26.13 ± 0.43 |
| | OTA | 24.22 ± 0.53 | 27.22 ± 0.59 |
| | DRO | 23.96 ± 0.42 | 25.81 ± 0.41 |
| | Ours | $\textbf{31.14} \pm \textbf{0.63}$ | $\textbf{40.86} \pm \textbf{0.64}$ |

| SH | Method | 1-shot | 5-shot |
|-----------------------|----------------|---|---|
| CIFAR-FS 5-way | Random Ours | $\begin{array}{c} 21.65 \pm 0.45 \\ \textbf{39.15} \pm \textbf{0.70} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 21.59 \pm 0.45 \\ \textbf{49.08} \pm \textbf{0.74} \end{array}$ |
| MiniImageNet 5-way | Random Ours | $\begin{array}{c} 22.45 \pm 0.41 \\ \textbf{28.76} \pm \textbf{0.60} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.48 \pm 0.45 \\ \textbf{35.19} \pm \textbf{0.64} \end{array}$ |
| | | | |
| MH Method | | 1-shot | 5-shot |

| 5-way | Random | 21.11 ± 0.41 | 21.34 ± 0.40 |
|-------|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Ours | $\textbf{28.50} \pm \textbf{0.63}$ | $\textbf{33.10} \pm \textbf{0.69}$ |

Results

Qualitative results:



5-way pseudo episode

CIFAR-FS, from Conv4 CIFAR-F

CIFAR-FS, from ResNet-18







Architecture, Dataset and Model-Scale Agnostic Data-free Meta-Learning

Zixuan Hu¹, Li Shen², Zhenyi Wang³, Tongliang Liu⁴, Chun Yuan¹, Dacheng Tao²

1Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, China; 2 JD Explore Academy, China 3 State University of New York at Buffalo, USA; 4 The University of Sydney, Australia

Poster: TUE-PM-345





